1	ERIC D. HOUSER #130079	
2	ehouser@houser-law.com SARA L. MARKERT #251277	
3	HOUSER & ALLISON smarkert@houser-law.com	
4	A Professional Corporation 9970 Research Drive	
5	Irvine, CA 92618 Telephone: (949) 679-1111	
6	Telephone: (949) 679-1111 Facsimile: (949) 679-1112	
7	Attorneys for Defendant,	
8	Nationwide Credit, Inc.	
9	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
10	CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA – WESTERN DIVISION	
11	KURT KISS,) Case No.: 2:08-cv-08323-GAF-MAN
12	Plaintiff,) HON. GARY A. FEESS
13	VS.)) JUDGMENT IN
14	NATIONWIDE CREDIT, INC.,) FAVOR OF DEFENDANT
15	Defendant.)
16)
17		
18	Defendant, Nationwide Credit, Inc. ("NCI") filed its Motion for Summary	
19	Judgment, or in the Alternative, Summary Adjudication of the Issues on August	
20	31, 2009. The hearing on the Motion was set for September 21, 2009. This Court	
21	found the matter suitable for determination without the necessity of a hearing.	
22	The matter presented having been fully considered, the issues having been	
23	duly heard and a decision having been duly rendered, the court finds as follows:	
24	1. That there is no triable issue of material fact to support Plaintiff's	
25	claim that the FDCPA applies to the case at hand because Plaintiff KURT KISS's	
26	debt is commercial in nature. 2. That there is no triable issue of material fact to support Plaintiff's	
27	2. That there is no triable is	ssue of material fact to support Flamini s
28	1	
	JUDGMENT IN FAVOR OF DEFENDANT	

claim that the RFDCPA applies to the case at hand because Plaintiff KURT KISS's debt is commercial in nature. 3. That that is no triable issue of fact as to Plaintiff KURT KISS' bad faith in bringing this action which entitles NCI to recover its reasonable attorneys' fees and costs. IT IS ORDERED AND ADJUDGED that the Plaintiff KURT KISS take nothing, that the action be dismissed on the merits and that Defendant NATIONWIDE CREDIT, INC. recover its reasonable attorneys' fees and costs in the amount of \$15,932.90. IT IS SO ORDERED. Entered this _6th__ day of October 2009. HON. GARY A FEESS UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT JUDGE

JUDGMENT IN FAVOR OF DEFENDANT